164 § 75

ect in

t in a to this setting, structst the rporato apon the

arties

., 199.

e. 164

to elec-

ts; lia-Quirico Survey p. 196,

er seq.

1899, \$\frac{4}{2}\$ was the lock of a portitled to ast the ctricity.

the re-

a building made without notice to the Inspector, it was error to permit the inspector to testify that it was not his practice with reference to more extensions to require an application or written permit before the current was turned on, but to make such requirement only where there was a new installation, and in a majority of the custallation, and in a majority of the custallation. Brunglis 7. Lowell Electric Light Corp. (1907) \$0 N.E. 46d, 194 Mass. 407.

3. Presumptions and burden of proof
In administratrix's action for death of
adopted son by electric shock from broken wire, burden was on plaintiff affirmatively to show due care of the boy
in order to recover; presumption created by \$2.1914, c. 553, not applying where
cause of action occurred before statute
went into effect. Bouther v. City of
Malden (1917) 116 N.S. 251, 226 Mass.
479, Ann.Cas.1918C, 916.

§ 75. Regulation by municipal authorities

The aldermen or selectmen may regulate, restrict and control all acts and doings of a corporation subject to this chapter which may in any manner affect the health, safety, convenience or property of the inhabitants of their towns.

Historical Note

St1855, c. 146, § 3. G.3.1860, c. 61, § 17. St1870, c. 224, § 57. P.S.1882, c. 106, § 77. St.1887, c. 385, § 6. R.L.1902, c. 110, § 78; c. 121, § 19. St.1914, c. 742, # 182, 199.

Cross References

Massachusetts wholesale electric company, applicability of this section, see c. 164 App. § 1-19.

Municipal electric departments, applicability of this section with respect to electric power facilities, see c. 164A, § 9(b)(1), (v).

Law Review Commentaries

Liability of electric company to person as trespasser against landowner. (1965) 31 ATLA L.J. 115.

Notes of Decisions

In general i
Review 5
Taxation 4
Underground installation 2
Zoning 3

1. In general

Fact that St.1914, c. 742, establishing general scheme for regulating the distribution and sale of electricity and gas did not expressly repeal St.1908, c. 360, under which the town of Reading was authorized to sell and distribute electric-

ity in the town of North Reading did not mean that Rending's legal obligations with respect to the sale and distribution of electricity to North Rending were to be determined completely apart from the provisions of the general statutory scheme. Tax Collector of North Reading v. Town of Rending (1974) 319 N.E.2d 337, — Mass. —.

Town selectmen, in discharging quasijudicial function under electric company's perition to construct electric transmission line across public way, can deny construction permission unless such ac-

219

